

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/800,844	03/16/2004	Carol G. Lilly	1534		
7.	590 01/17/2006		EXAMINER		
Carol G. Lilly			HANSEN, JAMES ORVILLE		
1320 Lance Court Lebanon, OH 45036			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3637		
		DATE MAILED: 01/17/2006			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/800,844	LILLY, CAROL G.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
James O. Hansen	3637		

	James O. Hansen	3637	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>27 December 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.	
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mo	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) $\boxtimes$ The period for reply expires $3$ months from the mailing date		-	
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejecti	on.
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	stension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
	oliopoo with 27 CED 44 27 must be	filedithin to	646
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	ie appeal. Since
AMENDMENTS	had a day to the date of filling a balaf		
3.  The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a)  They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below)	onsideration and/or search (see NO ow);	TE below);	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or			the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1	16 and 41.33(a)).		
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)			
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).		•	
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:	⊠ will not be entered, or b) ∐ wi vided below or appended.	II be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: 2-4.			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar</li> </ol>	overcome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
10.   The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	on of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attacl	hed.
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER			
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by	ut does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:
<ul> <li>12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).</li> <li>13. ☒ Other: See Continuation Sheet.</li> </ul>	·	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
	4	James O. Hansen	-
	•	Primary Examiner	

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The proposed amendments to the claims raise new issues, i.e., the "means to fasten the stationary slide to wall" and "means to provide clearance between normally abutting faces" limitations have been removed, thereby changing the scope of the claim(s) as previously considered and necessitating further consideration and search.

Continuation of 13. Other: Concerning the drawing corrections, the proposed sheet 7/7 may be deemed to constitute new matter .